10th Annual Northeast Regional Meeting Long Island Association for Central Service Mid Atlantic Central Service Association Atlantic City November 18 -19 2018

Keeping Your Patients Safe And Your Hospital Out of The Headlines

Presented by: Ray Taurasi MBA, ACE, CRCST, CHL, FCS Principal Healthcare CS Solutions 571 203 9099 raytaurasi@gmail.com







2018 Top Ten Health Technology Hazards

- · 1. Ransomware and Other Cybersecurity Threats
- 2. Endoscope Reprocessing Failures
- 3. Contaminated Mattresses and Covers
- 4. Alarm Notification Failures
- 5. Equipment Failures from Improper Cleaning
- · 6. Patient Burns from Unholstered ESU Pencils
- 7. Radiation Exposure from Inadequate Use of Digital Imaging Tools
- 8. Bar-Coded Medication Administration System Workarounds
- 9. Care Delays from Flaws in Device Networking
- · 10. Slow Adoption of Safer Enteral Feeding Connectors

Most Relative to SPD

#2. Endoscope Reprocessing Failures Continue to Expose Patients to Infection Risk

#5. Improper Cleaning May Cause Device Malfunctions, Equipment Failures, and Potential for Patient Injury















©

Healthcare-Associated Infections Cost Nearly \$10B Annually

Surgical site infections account for slightly more than one-third (33.7%) of that total

SSIs (\$20,785) per case basis

Outpatient Surgery E-Weekly September 10th, 2013

challenges

- *instrument complexities
- demand for fast turn around
- $\ensuremath{\boldsymbol{\ast}}$ inadequate human and material resources
- inadequate facilities
- *economics
- *loaners

©

- *scheduling coordination
- staff competency
 manufacturers IFUs

LET'S TAKE A VIRTUAL TOUR OF WHAT SOME HOSPITALS ARE DOING

Note: the names and faces have been changed to protect the guilty



















360 participants in SPD Survey

- 21% state they don't have adequate proper tools to clean devices
- 26% indicate they did not have adequate brushes required to clean medical devices
- 57% do not use visual enhancement device to inspect orthopedic shavers as IFU requires
- 14% do not have ultra sonic cleaner
- 24% not following AAMI standards re: testing automated washers at least weekly (preferably daily)

Kovach survey 2016

Cleaning is <u>always</u> the first step in the reprocessing cycle !

- All medical devices must be clean before they can be sterilized.
- Cleaning is the removal of visible and <u>invisible</u> soil.
- Cleaning is accomplished either by manual or mechanical means utilizing detergent and water at proper concentrations.

Seven factors affect the cleaning process: which must be assessed & monitored

- 1. Water quality
- 2. Temperature
- 3. Chemical activity
- 4. Mechanical action
- 5. Human factor
- 6. Item to be cleaned
- 7. Type of Soil

The various combinations determine how clean your instrument will be.

©





Assessing the Cleaning Process Steps to Take for a Quality Approach







| Assessing the Cleaning Process | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Manual | Ultrasonic | Auto Washer | | | | | | |
| Assess work area, environment, facilities | Х | Х | X | | | | | | |
| Assess water quality | X | X | X | | | | | | |
| Assess use of proper chemicals | х | х | X | | | | | | |
| Monitor temperature for chemical use | х | х | X | | | | | | |
| Monitor chemical dilutions | х | х | X | | | | | | |
| Assess proper functioning of equipment | | х | X | | | | | | |
| Check and clean filters & screens | | х | X | | | | | | |
| Observe machine operations | | X | X | | | | | | |
| Monitor staff performance and competency | x | x | x | | | | | | |
| Visually inspect instruments | х | Х | X | | | | | | |
| Test efficacy with a validated test soil device (soil swab device for manual) | x | x | x | | | | | | |
| Document and record results of all measurements and observations | х | x | X | | | | | | |



You need the right tools to "get it clean."

- spray guns
- vertical soakers
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot} \, \text{proper brushes}$
- verified
- equipment • magnifying glass
- quality improvement program



©

visual inspection



The visual inspection of medical devices is an essential step in verifying the efficacy of the cleaning process and the overall condition of the device.

visual inspection

- \checkmark free of blood, organic matter and other soils
- ✓free of any foreign matter
- ✓damage e.g. cracks, chips, scratches, broken parts ✓free of corrosion, staining
- \checkmark attention to movable parts, hinges, joints, box locks, pivots
- \checkmark attention to fine points, crevices, serrations, channels
- ✓may require the use of special optical inspection devices, magnifiers, light sources









manufacturer's cleaning IFU orthopedic instruments

- 1. Submerge in enzymatic detergent.
- 2. Flush port with 50 ml enzymatic detergent.
- 3. Soak for 10 min in protein soluble detergent.
- 4. Scrub with soft bristled brush (agitate instrument while scrubbing).
- 5. Rinse with warm tap water (38-49 ℃)
- 6. Flush port with 50 ml warm tap water.

7. Place in bath of warm water (agitate by hand for at least 1 min). Repeat this process 2 additional times.

manufacturer's cleaning IFU orthopedic instruments cont.

 8. Ultrasonic for 10 min with neutral pH detergent (flush port with 50 ml prepared detergent before sonication).
 9. Flush port with clean tap water (3 times).
 10. Rinse for at least 1 min with tap water.
 11. Dry with clean, lint free cloth.
 12. Inspect.
 13. Lubricate tip mechanism and finger slot (do not

lubricate flush port). (more than 40 minutes)



Technology has evolved over the years reprocessing techniques must keep pace

©

Quality Assurance of the entire Cleaning process is essential

Verification of cleaning efficacy

Devices vs. Processing Equipment

devices processing equipment ✓ all automated mechanical >surgical instruments equipment ≻endoscopes vwasher decontaminators & **≻robotics** accessories ≻suction apparatus ✓ ultrasonics & accessories ✓ cart washers ≻complex devices ✓ AERs (automated endoscope >medical equipment reprocessor)

C

©

©

FDA, AAMI & other standards state that:

✓Simulated testing be done with a surrogate device that closely approximates the actual types of soil the instrument is exposed to in clinical use. Further the surrogate device should be made of the same type of material as the medical device.

AAMI TIR30

tools for assessing
efficacy of automated washersImage: state of the st

Choose wisely as they do not all assess the key factors nor meet the criteria for a surrogate testing device 1.Water quality 2. Temperature 3. Chemical activity 4. Mechanical action 5. Human factor 6. Item to be cleaned 7. Type of Soil

washer test

Where used?

 washer decontaminators (all levels) *Why*?

> • ultrasonics Why?

| Date: | Chamber | | | | Racks | | | | | |
|--|---------|-----|-----|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----------|---------------|------|
| | Bot | tom | Yes | op no | Bot | tom | Mid | dle no | Top yes no | |
| Spray nozzles/arms are free of debris | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nozzles(holes) properly aligned at target surface (up & down) | | | | | | | | | | |
| All spray arms are present | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spray arm spin freely | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spray arm bushings are intact | | | | | | | | | | |
| | yes | no | | | | | | | | |
| Debris screen (in bottom of chamber) is clear of debris | | | | | | | | | (| |
| Instrument rack coupling with manifold properly | | | | | | | | | [| |
| No staining/scaling from detergent, hardwater, etc. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Detergent/enzyme at sufficient level in container | | | | | | | | | (| |
| Detergent delivery tubes are not clogged or kinked | | | | | | | | | (| |
| Other observations (comment) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Descentional defense | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recommended Actions | | | | | | | | | | |





C

Verification tests for ultrasonic cleaners AAMI ST79 2017

- · Test for cavitation in ultrasonic bath
- Test for soil removal (external) in ultrasonic bath:
- Indication is visual assessment or absence of marker on a coupon placed in the ultrasonic bath.
- Test for soil removal (internal within lumens)
 in ultrasonic bath

you can test for the presence of cavitation / sonic energy







Cart washer test Before or complete fail Pass if target 150 F Pass









RLU values vary from system-to-system and are not a standard unit of measurement across systems.



- Cleaning Verification
- · Care and handling of instrumentation

Summary of Standards and Recommendations

- · Daily monitoring of all mechanical cleaning equipment and accessories
- Testing for Cavitation in ultrasonic washers
 Verification of cleanliness of specific devices after manual or mechanical cleaning
- · Verification testing part of CQI and compliance with defined benchmarks
- Test methods that detect residual specific organic soils
 Emphasis on channels, lumens and adaptors
- · Use of enhanced visualization tools-e.g. lighted magnifiers, video
- borescopes Specific markers for blood, protein, carbohydrates, lipids, endotoxins , bioburdens, ATP
- · Cleaning begins at point of use , safe transport of soiled goods
- (OSHA Regulations) Need for proper tools and equipment in SPD



AAMI ST79 2017 7.6.4.5

Mechanical cleaning equipment performance should be tested each day it is used and all results should be recorded

- Washer disinfector
- Ultrasonic
- Cart Wash
- AER
- Attachments / manifolds



✓ ANNEX P

P.2.1 General considerations Each type or model of mechanical cleaning equipment is designed to significantly reduce the viable number of microorganisms on medical devices through the detergent washing, rinsing, flushing, and draining action of the equipment. Some types of mechanical cleaning equipment are also designed to destroy vegetative or pathogenic microorganisms by means of moistheat thermal disinfection or chemical disinfection. Such equipment can be labeled as providing low-, intermediate-, or high-level disinfection.

AAMI

Annex D ST79 2017

 For verification of routine cleaning processes, users should incorporate test methods that verify the functionality of the mechanical cleaning equipment (if used) and the <u>cleanliness of specific devices after manual or</u> <u>mechanical cleaning is completed.</u> These verification tests are part of continuous quality improvement to demonstrate continued compliance with cleaning benchmarks, once these benchmarks have been defined.

Health care personnel may perform verification tests as part of the overall quality assurance program. Methods of verification include the use of devices that directly test individual instruments for residual soils, challenge cleaning effectiveness with standardized test methods, or measure specific key parameters to evaluate the functionality of the cleaning equipment. Key performance outcomes include clean surfaces and adequate fluid flow in equipment that has adaptors for lumened devices....

Verification of the cleaning process

- Inspection using enhanced visualization tools such as lighted magnification and video borescopes might identify residues not observable by the unaided eye.
- Visual inspection alone might not be sufficient for assessing the efficacy of cleaning processes; the use of methods that are able to measure or detect organic residues that are not detectable using visual inspection should be considered in facility cleaning policy and procedures (see Annex D for available methods). Appropriate testing is based on the type of equipment.

AAMI ST79 7.6.4.5

Verification of the cleaning process

.....the specific markers that can be used to determine cleaning efficacy have indicated that the following soil markers are useful for benchmarking purposes:

- a) protein
- b) carbohydrate
- c) hemoglobin (blood)
- d) endotoxin
- e) lipid
- f) sodium ion, and
- g) Bioburden
- h) ATP

AAMI ST79 Annex D.2

AORN Recommendations 2016 Recommended Practices for Cleaning and Care of Surgical Instruments III.a. Preparation for decontamination of instruments should begin at the point of use. Moistening and removing gross soil at the point of use and the point of use.

Moistening and removing gross soil at the point of use can help prevent organic material and debris from drying on instruments. Organic material and debris are more difficult to remove from surgical instruments when they are allowed to dry. Removal of organic material and debris at the point of use can improve the efficacy and effectiveness of cleaning and decontamination.

& AORN Recommendations 2016

Recommended Practices for Cleaning and Care of Surgical Instruments

III.d. In preparation for transport to a decontamination area, sharp instruments must be segregated from other instruments and confined in a puncture-resistant container

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) prohibits processes that require employees to place their hands into basins of sharp instruments because of the risk for percutaneous exposure to bloodborne pathogens......

& AORN Recommendations 2016

Recommended Practices for Cleaning and Care of Surgical Instruments IV

Contaminated instruments must be contained during transport to a decontamination area

Containment of contaminated instruments decreases the potential for injury to personnel or their exposure

to blood, body fluids, or other potentially infectious materials and helps prevent damage to the instruments during transport.

& AORN Recommendations 2016

Recommended Practices for Cleaning and Care of Surgical Instruments V.d.

The decontamination area should contain automated equipment consistent with the types of instruments to be cleaned and decontaminated, adaptors and accessories to connect instruments with cleaning equipment and utilities,

a filtered medical-grade compressed air supply,_and access to water of appropriate quality for rinsing instruments (eg, deionized or reverse-osmosis water).

& AORN Recommendations 2016

GUIDELINE FOR CLEANING AND CARE OF SURGICAL INSTRUMENTS XVII.a.1

Mechanical cleaners (eg, washer disinfectors/decontaminators) should be tested for correct function on installation, at least weekly (preferably daily) during routine use, after major repairs, and after significant changes in cleaning parameters (eg, changing cleaning solutions).

AORNAORN Recommendations

- <u>XXII.a Manual cleaning should be evaluated</u> when new types of instruments are
- reprocessed and at periodic intervals
- determined by the health care organization.
- (XXII.a.1.)Testing washer decontaminators on a regular basis verifies that the equipment is functioning properly or identifies an opportunity for corrective action.
- Washer testing products are commercially available.

WHAT CAN WE DO ? WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?





| We Must Be | |
|-----------------------|---|
| | |
| DEDICATE | J |
| PREPARED |) |
| CONNECTED |) |
| INFORMED |) |
| CONFIDENT | |
| HEARD | |
| and the second second | |
| and the second | |
| | |

When it comes to reprocessing medical devices patients' lives are in our hands. Every HCW plays a major role in infection control- we need to support one another and work collaboratively



